

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FRANK DEPETRO,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

MICHAEL MINEV, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-00817-MMD-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 33]

Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to extend the case management deadlines.

13 Docket No. 33. The motion to extend the case management deadlines is hereby **DENIED** without
14 prejudice.

15 As a threshold matter, the local rules prohibit filing a single document that contains
16 multiple requests. Local Rule IC 2-2(b). Because this motion includes both a request to extend a
17 briefing schedule and a separate request to extend case management deadlines, it violates that local
18 rule. This rule is “not an exercise of pure formality,” *Underwood v. O’Reilly Auto Enterp., LLC*,
19 2022 WL 1184883, at *2 (D. Nev. Apr. 20, 2022) (quoting *Bank of N.Y. Mellon v. SFR Invs. Pool*
20 *I, LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 132101, at *2 (D. Nev. Aug. 18, 2018)), and counsel must be mindful
21 of this rule moving forward.

22 As to the request for extension itself, the local rules require those seeking to modify case
23 management deadlines to provide the Court with various information, including a specific
24 identification of the discovery completed to date and of the discovery remaining. Local Rule 26-
25 3(a)-(b). The instant request fails to provide that information.¹

¹ Because the motion is procedurally defective, the Court does not opine herein on the assertion that good cause exists for an extension.

1 Accordingly, Defendants' motion to extend the case management deadlines is **DENIED**
2 without prejudice. Any renewed motion must be filed by July 11, 2023, and must comply with all
3 applicable local rules.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: July 7, 2023

6 
7 Nancy J. Koppe
8 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28